

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	1
Table of Contents .....	iii
List of Figures .....	vii
List of Tables .....	xi
Acknowledgements .....	xii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Research Statement .....	1
1.2 Limitations of Previous Studies.....	2
1.3 Scope.....	3
CHAPTER 2 THIN LAYER CORRECTION.....	6
2.1 Abstract .....	6
2.2 Discussion.....	6
2.3 Example.....	15
2.4 Conclusion.....	16
CHAPTER 3 NORMALIZATION FOR EFFECTIVE OVERBURDEN .....	20
3.1 Abstract .....	20
3.2 Introduction .....	20
3.3 Previous Research.....	21
3.4 Theoretical Foundation for Normalization.....	27

3.4.1 Cohesive Normally Consolidated.....	28
3.4.2 Cohesive Overconsolidated.....	30
3.4.3 Cohesionless Contractive.....	32
3.4.4 Cohesionless Dilatant .....	34
3.4.5 Cavity Expansion Results .....	35
3.5 Sleeve Normalization.....	36
3.6 Forward Normalization Analysis.....	37
3.7 CPT vs. SPT Normalization .....	38
3.8 Summary and Conclusions .....	39
CHAPTER 4 DATA PROCESSING .....	45
4.1 Introduction .....	45
4.2 Field Observations .....	45
4.3 Strength Parameters .....	47
4.3.1 Choice of Logs .....	47
4.3.2 Case Selection .....	48
4.3.3 Critical Layer Selection .....	48
4.3.4 Index Measurements.....	51
4.3.5 Masked Liquefaction .....	52
4.3.6 Screening for Other Failure Mechanisms .....	52
4.3.7 Normalization.....	53
4.3.8 Thin Layer Correction .....	53
4.3.9 Processed Strength Parameters.....	55

4.4 Stress Parameters .....	55
4.4.1 Cyclic Stress Ratio.....	55
4.4.2 Peak Ground Acceleration .....	56
4.4.3 Total and Effective Stress .....	57
4.4.4 Nonlinear Shear Mass Participation Factor ( $r_d$ ) .....	58
4.4.5 Moment Magnitude .....	60
4.5 Data Class .....	60
4.6 Review Process .....	61
4.7 Conclusions .....	62

## CHAPTER 5 RELIABILITY ANALYSIS WITHIN

A BAYESIAN FRAMEWORK .....	66
5.1 Abstract .....	66
5.2 Introduction .....	66
5.3 Bayes Rule.....	67
5.4 Uncertainties in Liquefaction/Non-Liquefaction Data.....	68
5.4.1 Data Treatment .....	69
5.5 Limit-State and Model Formulation .....	70
5.6 Bayesian Updating.....	73
5.7 Sampling Bias.....	74
5.8 Reliability Analysis.....	75
5.9 Summary .....	76

CHAPTER 6 LIQUEFACTION TRIGGERING CORRELATIONS .....	78
6.1 Background.....	78
6.1.1 Deterministic Correlations .....	78
6.1.2 Probabilistic Correlations.....	80
6.2 Database .....	80
6.3 Probabilistic Presentation of Results.....	91
6.4 Deterministic Presentation of Results .....	92
6.4.1 Probability and Determinism .....	99
6.5 “Fines” Adjustment.....	99
6.6 Duration Weighting Factor.....	105
6.7 Final Correlation .....	107
6.8 Summary .....	109
CHAPTER 7 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS .....	110
7.1 Summary .....	110
7.2 Conclusions .....	111
7.3 Future Research Recommendations.....	112
REFERENCES.....	114
APPENDIX: FIELD CASE HISTORY DATABASE.....	134

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Conceptual model of stratigraphic sequence with a stiff thin layer. ....	8
Figure 2.2	Example of the process used to derive thin layer correction factors from empirical data; subsurface log of Miller Farm #49 (Bennett and Tinsley, 1995).....	11
Figure 2.3	Comparison of field data and theoretical curves. The means and $\pm 1$ standard deviations are shown as hollow symbols with brackets....	13
Figure 2.4	Proposed correction curves for a stiff thin layer. ....	14
Figure 2.5	Conceptual model where the stiff thin layer is surrounded by softer layers of soil having different stiffnesses. ....	17
Figure 2.6a	Example demonstrating the use of the thin layer correction, subsurface log from Adapazari CPTU#1-02 (PEER, 2001). ....	18
Figure 2.6b	Example demonstrating the use of the thin layer correction, subsurface log from Adapazari CPTU#1-02 (PEER, 2001). ....	19
Figure 3.1	Variable CPT Normalization from Olsen & Mitchell (1995). ....	22

Figure 3.2	Tip Normalization Exponent Results from Field Data (after Olsen, 1994).....	24
Figure 3.3	Tip Normalization Exponent Results from 57 Calibration Chamber Tests and Bay Mud Field Data (after Olsen, 1994).....	25
Figure 3.4	Tip Normalization Exponent Results from 25 Calibration Chamber Tests and Bay Mud Field Data (after Olsen, 1994).....	26
Figure 3.5	Tip Normalization Exponent Results from Cavity Expansion Analyses.....	40
Figure 3.6	Comparison of Proposed Tip Normalization Exponent Contours with Olsen & Mitchell (1995) Tip Normalization Contours.....	41
Figure 3.7	Ratio of Sleeve to Tip Normalization Exponents versus Normalized Sleeve Resistance (after Olsen, 1994).....	42
Figure 3.8	Proposed Tip and Sleeve Normalization Exponent Contours.....	43
Figure 3.9	Proposed Tip Normalization Exponent Contours.....	44
Figure 4.1	Screening criteria for failure mechanism other than liquefaction. ....	54

Figure 4.2	Plot Showing Mean Location of Liquefied Data Points. ....	63
Figure 4.3	Plot Showing Mean Location of Non-Liquefied Data Points. ....	64
Figure 4.4	Plot Showing Mean Location of Both Liquefied and Non- Liquefied Data Points. ....	65
Figure 5.1	Plot showing liquefaction (dot) and non-liquefaction (circle) data points with $\pm 1$ standard deviation error bars. ....	77
Figure 6.1	Probabilistic liquefaction triggering curves shown for $P_L=5,20,50,80$ , and 95%. Dots indicate liquefied data points and circles non-liquefied data points. ....	93
Figure 6.2	Plot showing the correction for the choice-based sampling bias. $P_L=20,50$ , and 80% contours are shown uncorrected (dashed) and corrected (solid).....	94
Figure 6.3	Triggering curves shown against data modified for friction ratio.....	95
Figure 6.4	Comparison of triggering curves with previous deterministic studies.	96
Figure 6.5	Comparison of triggering curves with previous probabilistic studies. .	97

Figure 6.6	Constant friction ratio triggering curves all shown for $P_L=15\%$ . The round data points indicate “clean” sands and the diamond data points indicate “dirty” sand.....	98
Figure 6.7	Comparison of constant friction ratio triggering curves with previous studies that included the effects of “fines” on liquefiability. ....	102
Figure 6.8	Comparison of $\Delta q_c$ and $I_c$ curves.....	103
Figure 6.9	Curves of $\Delta q_c$ shown against the liquefaction database.....	104
Figure 6.10	Comparison of different $DWF_M$ studies .....	106

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 6.1	CPT-Based Liquefaction Triggering Database .....	82
Table 6.2	Model Parameter Estimates.....	108

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

These studies were funded by the NSF-sponsored Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center (PEER), Lifelines Program via California Department of Transportation, the California Energy Commission, and Pacific Gas and Electric, under PEER Lifelines Task 3D02, and this support is gratefully acknowledged.

Prof. Ray Seed was a wonderful advisor and mentor, whose guidance, input, and enthusiasm always kept me on-track when I needed it and helped me jump-the-track when that was in order. I also wish to acknowledge the tremendous assistance, advice, and data provided by a number of researchers, with particular gratitude extended to Prof. Onder Cetin, Dr. Rob Kayen, Prof. Jon Stewart, Dr. Rick Olsen, Prof. Les Youd, and Prof. Kohji Tokimatsu.

I would like to express gratitude to my committee for their support and providing the incentive to get-it-right; Prof. Armen Der Kiureghian, Prof. Doug Dreger, Prof. Jon Bray, and Prof. Juan Pestana. I would also like to thank Prof. I.M. Idriss for his valuable input at the PEER Lifelines quarterly meetings.

My colleagues at Berkeley have been an tremendous asset, providing both support and critique when it was needed. I would like to thank and acknowledge the backstop which I continually bounced ideas off of, Rodolfo Sancio. I would also like to thank Annie Kammerer, Allison Faris, Thaleia Travassarou, Bijan Khazia, and Brian Collins for their camaraderie.